arXiv:1511.07000v1 [math.AG] 22 Nov 2015

Equivariant derived category of flat families

Saša Novaković

Abstract. We prove the existence of tilting bundles on global quotient stacks that are produced by compatible finite group actions on flat families.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Generalities on equivariant derived categories 2
3. Geometric tilting theory 3
4. Equivariant derived category of flat families 5
References 8

1. Introduction

Geometric tilting theory started with the construction of tilting bundles on the projective space by Beilinson [5]. Later Kapranov [27], [28], [29] constructed tilting bundles for certain homogeneous spaces. Further examples can be obtained from certain blow ups and taking projective bundles [14], [15], [39]. A smooth projective k-scheme admitting a tilting object satisfies very strict conditions, namely its Grothendieck group is a free abelian group of finite rank and the Hodge diamond is concentrated on the diagonal, at least in characteristic zero [12].

However, it is still an open problem to give a complete classification of smooth projective k-schemes admitting a tilting object. In the case of curves one can prove that a smooth projective algebraic curve has a tilting object if and only if the curve is a one-dimensional Brauer–Severi variety. But already for smooth projective algebraic surfaces there is currently no classification of surfaces admitting such a tilting object. It is conjectured that a smooth projective algebraic surface has a tilting bundle if and only if it is rational (see [11], [19], [20], [21], [22], [32] and [41] for results in this direction).

In the present work, we will focus on a certain type of a quotient stack and prove the existence of tilting bundles for their derived category. Several examples of stacks admitting a tilting object are known (see [25], [26], [30], [34], [35], [37] and [38]). But as in the case of schemes, one has to settle for existence criteria for stacks admitting a tilting object.

Assume k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. In [36] it is proved a generalization of the main result of [15]. It is the following theorem:

Theorem. *([36], Theorem 4.15) Let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be a flat proper morphism between smooth projective k-schemes and $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n$ a set of locally free sheaves in $D^b(X)$ such that for any point $z \in Z$ the collection $\mathcal{E}_1^z = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^z = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}$ of the restrictions to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z) = X_z$ is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b(X_z)$. Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a tilting bundle on Z. Then there exists an ample sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Z such that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes i}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i$ is a tilting bundle on X.*

Our goal is to give an equivariant version of this theorem and in this way to produce a tilting bundle on the quotient stack $[X/G]$. So assume k is algebraically closed and of characteristic zero. We consider smooth projective k-schemes X and Z with a compatible action of a finite group G and investigate the case of G-morphisms $\pi\colon X \to Z$ where the underlying morphism of schemes $X \to Z$ is flat and proper. We call such morphisms

flat G-maps for simplicity. For a smooth projective k-scheme X, denote by $\mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$ the abelian category of equivariant coherent sheaves and by $D^b_G(X)$ its bounded derived category. Furthermore, $k[G]$ denotes the regular representation of G. We then prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *(Theorem 4.5) Let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be a flat G-map and $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n$ a set of locally free sheaves in $D^b_G(X)$ such that, considered as a set of objects in $D^b(X)$, for any point $z \in Z$ the collection $\mathcal{E}_1^z = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^z = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}$ of the restrictions to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z) = X_z$ is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b(X_z)$. Suppose $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(Z)$ is a tilting bundle on Z. There exists an equivariant ample sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Z such that $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes i}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i) \otimes k[G]$ is a tilting bundle on $[X/G]$.*

If all indecomposable pairwise non-isomorphic direct summands of $\mathcal{T}$ and all $\mathcal{E}_i$ are invertible sheaves, we obtain a full strongly exceptional collection.

Theorem. *(Theorem 4.9) Let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be a flat G-map and $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n$ a set of invertible sheaves in $D^b_G(X)$ such that, considered as a set of objects in $D^b(X)$, for any point $z \in Z$ the collection $\mathcal{E}_1^z = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^z = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}$ of the restrictions to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z) = X_z$ is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b(X_z)$. Suppose $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(Z)$ is a tilting bundle on Z whose indecomposable pairwise non-isomorphic direct summands are invertible sheaves. Then there is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b_G(X)$.*

Conventions. Throughout this work k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and all locally free sheaves are assumed to be of finite rank.

2. Generalities on equivariant derived categories

Let X be a quasiprojective k-scheme and G a finite group acting on X. A *G-linearization*, also called an *equivariant structure*, on $\mathcal{F}$ is given by isomorphisms $\lambda_g\colon \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} g^*\mathcal{F}$ for all $g \in G$ subject to $\lambda_1 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\lambda_{gh} = h^*\lambda_g \circ \lambda_h$. In the present work we also call such sheaves *equivariant sheaves*. Equivariant sheaves are therefore pairs $(\mathcal{F}, \lambda)$, consisting of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X and a choice of an equivariant structure λ.

Remark 2.1. For a definition of linearization in the case where an arbitrary algebraic group acts on an arbitrary scheme we refer to [6], [16] or [17].

If $(\mathcal{F}, \lambda)$ and $(\mathcal{G}, \mu)$ are two equivariant sheaves on X, the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ becomes a G-representation via $g \cdot f := (\mu_g)^{-1} \circ g^*f \circ \lambda_g$ for $f\colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$. The equivariant quasi-coherent respectively coherent sheaves together with G-invariant morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})^G$ form abelian categories with enough injectives (see [10], [42]) which we denote by $\mathrm{Qcoh}_G(X)$ respectively $\mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$. We put $D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X)) := D(\mathrm{Qcoh}_G(X))$ and $D^b_G(X) := D^b(\mathrm{Coh}_G(X))$.

Let X and Y be quasiprojective k-schemes on which the finite group G acts. A *G-morphisms* between X and Y is given by a morphism $\phi\colon X \to Y$ such that $\phi \circ g = g \circ \phi$ for all $g \in G$. Then we have the pullback $\phi^*\colon \mathrm{Coh}_G(Y) \to \mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$ and the pushforward $\phi_*\colon \mathrm{Coh}_G(X) \to \mathrm{Coh}_G(Y)$. The functors ϕ^* and ϕ_* are adjoint; analogously for $\mathbb{L}\phi^*$ and $\mathbb{R}\phi_*$. For $(\mathcal{F}, \lambda), (\mathcal{G}, \mu) \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$ there is a canonical equivariant structure on $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ coming from the maps $\lambda_g \otimes \mu_g$ (see [6], Proposition 3.46).

By definition, objects of $D^b_G(X)$ are bounded complexes of equivariant coherent sheaves. It is clear that each such complex defines an equivariant structure on the corresponding object of $D^b(X)$. Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of equivariant objects of $D^b(X)$, i.e. complexes $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ with isomorphisms $\lambda_g\colon \mathcal{F}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} g^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ satisfying $\lambda_{gh} = h^*\lambda_g \circ \lambda_h$. This category is in fact triangulated and it is a natural fact that $D^b_G(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are equivalent (see [13], Proposition 4.5 or [17]).

There is also another description of the derived categories needed in the present work. Consider the global quotient stack $[X/G]$, produced by an action of a finite group G on X (see [45], Example 7.17). The quasi-coherent sheaves on $[X/G]$ are equivalent

to equivariant quasi-coherent sheaves on X (see [45], Example 7.21). Henceforth, the abelian categories $\mathrm{Qcoh}([X/G])$ and $\mathrm{Qcoh}_G(X)$ are equivalent and therefore give rise to equivalent derived categories $D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X)) \simeq D(\mathrm{Qcoh}([X/G]))$. For any two objects $\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ we write $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) := \mathrm{Hom}_{D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet)$.

Analogously, we get $D^b_G(X) \simeq D^b(\mathrm{Coh}([X/G]))$. Note that for $X = pt$, $\mathrm{Coh}([pt/G]) \simeq \mathrm{Coh}_G(pt) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}_k(G)$ is the category of finite-dimensional representations. Moreover, for a finite group G, the functor $(-)^G \colon \mathrm{Coh}([pt/G]) \to \mathrm{Coh}(pt), V \mapsto V^G$, is exact (see [1], Proposition 2.5). For arbitrary $\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D^b_G(X)$, the finite group G also acts on the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) := \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet)$. The exactness of $(-)^G$ yields

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet)^G.$$

The exactness of $(-)^G$ also implies the following fact (see [4], Lemma 2.2.8):

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be smooth quasiprojective k-scheme and G a finite group acting on X. For arbitrary $\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D^b_G(X)$ the following holds for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet[i]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet[i])^G.$$

For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$ we therefore have $H^i_G(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^i(X, \mathcal{F})^G$. In Section 4 we also need the Leray spectral sequence: For a G-morphism $f \colon X \to Y$, the spectral sequence is

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p_G(Y, \mathbb{R}^q f_*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}_G(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet). \tag{1}$$

3. Geometric tilting theory

In this section we recall some facts of geometric tilting theory. We first recall the notions of generating and thick subcategories (see [9], [43]).

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{C}$ a triangulated subcategory. The subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ is called *thick* if it is closed under isomorphisms and direct summands. For a subset A of objects of $\mathcal{D}$ we denote by $\langle A \rangle$ the smallest full thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ containing the elements of A. Furthermore, we define $A^\perp$ to be the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of all objects M such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(E[i], M) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all elements E of A. We say that A *generates* $\mathcal{D}$ if $A^\perp = 0$. Now assume D admits arbitrary direct sums. An object B is called *compact* if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(B, -)$ commutes with direct sums. Denoting by $\mathcal{D}^c$ the subcategory of compact objects we say that $\mathcal{D}$ is *compactly generated* if the objects of $\mathcal{D}^c$ generate $\mathcal{D}$. One has the following important theorem (see [9], Theorem 2.1.2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a compactly generated triangulated category. Then a set of objects $A \subset \mathcal{D}^c$ generates $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if $\langle A \rangle = \mathcal{D}^c$.*

We now give the definition of tilting objects (see [12] for a definition of tilting objects in arbitrary triangulated categories).

Definition 3.2. Let k be a field, X a quasiprojective k-scheme and G a finite group acting on X. An object $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ is called *tilting object* on $[X/G]$ if the following hold:

- **(i)** Ext vanishing: $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{T}^\bullet, \mathcal{T}^\bullet[i]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.
- **(ii)** Generation: If $\mathcal{N}^\bullet \in D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ satisfies $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{T}^\bullet, \mathcal{N}^\bullet) = 0$, then $\mathcal{N}^\bullet = 0$.
- **(iii)** Compactness: $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{T}^\bullet, -)$ commutes with direct sums.

Below we state the well-known equivariant tilting correspondence (see [10], Theorem 3.1.1). It is a direct application of a more general result on triangulated categories (see [31], Theorem 8.5). We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(A)$ the category of right A-modules and by $D^b(A)$ the bounded derived category of finitely generated right A-modules. Furthermore, $\mathrm{perf}(A) \subset D(\mathrm{Mod}(A))$ denotes the full triangulated subcategory of perfect complexes, those quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complexes of finitely generated projective right A-modules.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a quasiprojective k-scheme and G a finite group acting on X. Suppose we are given a tilting object $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ on $[X/G]$ and let $A = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)$. Then the following hold:*

- **(i)** *The functor $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{T}^\bullet, -)\colon D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X)) \to D(\mathrm{Mod}(A))$ is an equivalence.*
- **(ii)** *If X is smooth and $\mathcal{T} \in D^b_G(X)$, this equivalence restricts to an equivalence $D^b_G(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{perf}(A)$.*
- **(iii)** *If the global dimension of A is finite, then $\mathrm{perf}(A) \simeq D^b(A)$.*

Remark 3.4. If X is a smooth projective k-scheme and $G = 1$, the derived category $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ is compactly generated and the compact objects are exactly $D^b(X)$ (see [9]). In this case, a compact object $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ generates $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ if and only if $\langle \mathcal{T}^\bullet \rangle = D^b(X)$. Since the natural functor $D^b(X) \to D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ is fully faithful (see [24]), a compact object $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ is a tilting object if and only if $\langle \mathcal{T}^\bullet \rangle = D^b(X)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet, \mathcal{T}^\bullet[i]) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. If the tilting object $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ is a coherent sheaf and $\mathrm{gldim}(\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)) < \infty$, the above definition coincides with the definition of a tilting sheaf given in [3]. In this case the tilting object is called *tilting sheaf* on X. If it is a locally free sheaf we simply say that $\mathcal{T}$ is a *tilting bundle*. Theorem 3.3 then gives the classical tilting correspondence as first proved by Bondal [7] and later extended by Baer [3].

The next observation shows that in Theorem 3.3 the smoothness of X already implies the finiteness of the global dimension of A.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X, G and $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ be as in Theorem 3.3. If X is smooth and projective, then $A = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)$ has finite global dimension and therefore the equivalence (i) of Theorem 3.3 restricts to an equivalence $D^b_G(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(A)$.*

Proof. Imitating the proof of Theorem 7.6 in [23], we argue as follows: For two finitely generated right A-modules M and N, the equivalence $\psi := \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{T}^\bullet, -)\colon D^b_G(X) \to \mathrm{perf}(A)$ (see Theorem 3.3 (ii)) yields

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(M, N) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(\psi^{-1}(M), \psi^{-1}(N)[i]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\psi^{-1}(M), \psi^{-1}(N)[i])^G = 0$$

for $i \gg 0$, since X is smooth. Indeed, this follows from the local-to-global spectral sequence, Grothendieck vanishing Theorem and Lemma 2.2. As X is projective, $A = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathcal{T}^\bullet)$ is a finite-dimensional k-algebra and hence a noetherian ring. But for noetherian rings the vanishing of $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(M, N)$ for $i \gg 0$ for any two finitely generated A-modules M and N suffices to conclude that the global dimension of A has to be finite. □

The following fact is folklore. It will be needed in Section 4.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be a smooth projective k and $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ a tilting object on X. Then for an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ the object $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is also a tilting object on X.*

In the literature, instead of the tilting object $\mathcal{T}^\bullet$ one often studies the set $\mathcal{E}^\bullet_1, ..., \mathcal{E}^\bullet_n$ of its indecomposable pairwise non-isomorphic direct summands. There is a special case where all the summands form a so-called full strongly exceptional collection. We recall the definition and follow here [40].

Definition 3.7. Let X and G be as in Definition 3.2. An object $\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in D^b_G(X)$ is called *exceptional* if $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet[l]) = 0$ when $l \neq 0$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet) = k$. An *exceptional collection* in $D^b_G(X)$ is a sequence of exceptional objects $\mathcal{E}^\bullet_1, ..., \mathcal{E}^\bullet_n$ satisfying $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{E}^\bullet_i, \mathcal{E}^\bullet_j[l]) = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $i > j$.

The exceptional collection is called *strongly exceptional* if in addition $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{E}^\bullet_i, \mathcal{E}^\bullet_j[l]) = 0$ for all i and j when $l \neq 0$. Finally, we say the exceptional collection is *full* if the smallest full thick subcategory containing all $\mathcal{E}^\bullet_i$ equals $D^b_G(X)$.

A generalization is the notion of a semiorthogonal decomposition of $D^b_G(X)$. Recall, a full triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}$ of $D^b_G(X)$ is called *admissible* if the inclusion $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow D^b_G(X)$ has a left and right adjoint functor.

Definition 3.8. Let X and G be as in Definition 3.2. A sequence $\mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_n$ of full triangulated subcategories of $D^b_G(X)$ is called *semiorthogal* if all $\mathcal{D}_i \subset D^b_G(X)$ are admissible and $\mathcal{D}_j \subset \mathcal{D}_i^{\perp} = \{\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b_G(X) \mid \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{G}^\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0, \forall\ \mathcal{G}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_i\}$ for $i > j$.

Such a sequence defines a *semiorthogonal decomposition* of $D^b_G(X)$ if the smallest full thick subcategory containing all $\mathcal{D}_i$ equals $D^b_G(X)$.

For a semiorthogonal decomposition of $D^b_G(X)$, we write $D^b_G(X) = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_r \rangle$.

Example 3.9. It is an easy exercise to show that a full exceptional collection $\mathcal{E}_1^\bullet, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^\bullet$ in $D^b_G(X)$ gives rise to a semiorthogonal decomposition $D^b_G(X) = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, ..., \mathcal{D}_n \rangle$ with $\mathcal{D}_i = \langle \mathcal{E}_i^\bullet \rangle$ (see [24], Example 1.60).

Exceptional collections and semiorthogonal decompositions were intensively studied and we know quite a lot of examples of schemes admitting full exceptional collections or semiorthogonal decompositions. For an overview we refer to [8] and [33].

4. Equivariant derived category of flat families

Let X and Z be smooth projective k-schemes on which a finite group G acts. In the sequel we consider G-morphisms $\pi\colon X \to Z$ where the underlying morphism of schemes $X \to Z$ is flat and proper. Such morphisms we simply call *flat G-maps*.

We state some preliminary facts.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be a flat proper morphism and $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n$ a set of locally free sheaves in $D^b(X)$ such that for any point $z \in Z$ the collection $\mathcal{E}_1^z = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^z = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}$ of the restrictions to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z) = X_z$ is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b(X_z)$. Then the following holds:*

$$\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_q \otimes \mathcal{E}_p^\vee) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } s > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } s = 0 \text{ and } q < p \\ \pi_*(\mathcal{E}_q \otimes \mathcal{E}_p^\vee) & \text{for } s = 0 \text{ and } q \geq p \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $\pi\colon X \to Z$ is a locally trivial fibration with typical fiber F and $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n$ are invertible sheaves this is exactly the claim of [15], p.430. As $\pi\colon X \to Z$ is flat and proper, flat base change holds (see [24], (3.18)). Carrying out the same arguments as in the proof of the claim of [15], p.430, we see that the above statement also holds for $\pi\colon X \to Z$ flat and proper and $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n$ being arbitrary locally free sheaves. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a smooth projective k-scheme on which a finite group G acts. Then there exists an equivariant ample sheaf $\mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample invertible sheaf on X, then $g^*\mathcal{L}$ is ample for any $g \in G$. Now the tensor product $\bigotimes_{g\in G} g^*\mathcal{L}$ is ample and has a natural equivariant structure λ. Take $(\mathcal{N}, \lambda) = (\bigotimes_{g\in G} g^*\mathcal{L}, \lambda)$. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ and $\mathcal{E}_i$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Suppose $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ is a compact object with $\langle \mathcal{A}^\bullet \rangle = D^b(Z)$, then $\langle \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{A}^\bullet) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i \rangle = D^b(X)$ and therefore $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{A}^\bullet) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i$ generates $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$.*

Proof. In [44], Theorem 3.1 it is proved that the functor $\pi^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i\colon D^b(Z) \to D^b(X)$ is fully faithful and that $D^b(X) = \langle \pi^*D^b(Z) \otimes \mathcal{E}_1, ..., \pi^*D^b(Z) \otimes \mathcal{E}_n \rangle$ is a semiorthogonal decomposition. Here the full subcategories $\pi^*D^b(Z) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i$ consist of objects of the form $\pi^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i$, where $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(Z)$. Therefore, the functor $\pi^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i$ from above induces

an equivalence between $D^b(Z)$ and $\pi^*D^b(Z)\otimes\mathcal{E}_i$. Since $\langle\mathcal{A}^\bullet\rangle = D^b(Z)$, we immediately get $\langle\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{A}^\bullet)\otimes\mathcal{E}_i\rangle = D^b(X)$. Note that the compact objects of $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ are all of $D^b(X)$ (see [9]). The rest follows from Theorem 3.1 as $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{A}^\bullet)\otimes\mathcal{E}_i$ is a compact object of $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$. □

To prove Theorem 4.5 below, we apply the following result that we only cite (see [35], Theorem 4.1 and 4.2)

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a smooth projective k-scheme and G a finite group acting on X. Suppose there is a $\mathcal{T}^\bullet \in D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$ which, considered as an object in $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$, is a tilting object on X. Let $k[G] = \bigoplus_i W_i^{\oplus \dim(W_i)}$ be the regular representation of G, then $\mathcal{T}^\bullet\otimes k[G]$ and $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{T}^\bullet\otimes W_i$ are tilting objects on $[X/G]$.*

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\pi\colon X\to Z$ be a flat G-map and $\mathcal{E}_1,...,\mathcal{E}_n$ a set of locally free sheaves in $D^b_G(X)$ such that, considered as a set of objects in $D^b(X)$, for any point $z\in Z$ the collection $\mathcal{E}_1^z = \mathcal{E}_1\otimes\mathcal{O}_{X_z},...,\mathcal{E}_n^z = \mathcal{E}_n\otimes\mathcal{O}_{X_z}$ of the restrictions to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z) = X_z$ is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b(X_z)$. Suppose $\mathcal{T}\in \mathrm{Coh}_G(Z)$ is a tilting bundle on Z. There is an equivariant ample sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Z such that $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes i})\otimes\mathcal{E}_i)\otimes k[G]$ is a tilting bundle on $[X/G]$.*

Proof. Below we show that there exists an equivariant ample sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Z such that $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes i})\otimes\mathcal{E}_i)\otimes k[G]$ is a tilting bundle on $[X/G]$. Note that by construction, $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes i})\otimes\mathcal{E}_i)\otimes k[G]$ is a compact object of $D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$. To apply Theorem 4.4, we only have to show that $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes i})\otimes\mathcal{E}_i)$ is a tilting bundle on X that in addition admits an equivariant structure. For this, we take an equivariant ample sheaf $\mathcal{N}$ on Z. Such a $\mathcal{N}$ exists according to Lemma 4.2. Now let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}$ for $m\gg 0$. So we have to find a natural number $m\gg 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^l(\pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes i})\otimes\mathcal{E}_i, \pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes j})\otimes\mathcal{E}_j) = 0, \text{ for } l>0.$$

But this is equivalent to

$$H^l(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)})\otimes\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee) = 0, \text{ for } l>0.$$

Applying the Leray spectral sequence (1) to the morphism $\pi\colon X\to Z$, we obtain

$$H^r(Z, \mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)})\otimes\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee)) \Longrightarrow H^{r+s}(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)})\otimes\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee).$$

With the projection formula we find

$$\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)})\otimes\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee) \simeq \mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)}\otimes\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee).$$

Now from Lemma 4.1 we know that $\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee)$ is non-vanishing only for $s=0$ and $j\geq i$ and that in this case we have $\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee)\simeq\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee)$. Thus for $j<i$ we get $\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee) = 0$ and therefore

$$H^r(Z, \mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)}\otimes\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee)) = 0.$$

Therefore we find

$$H^l(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)})\otimes\mathcal{E}_j\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee) = 0,$$

for $l>0$ by above spectral sequence. It remains the case $j\geq i$. For $j=i$ we have $\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_i\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee)\simeq\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_i\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee)\simeq\mathcal{O}_Z$ (see [44], p.5 right after (3.10)). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H^r(Z, \mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{M}^{\otimes(i-i)}\otimes\mathbb{R}^s\pi_*(\mathcal{E}_i\otimes\mathcal{E}_i^\vee)) &\simeq H^r(Z, \mathcal{T}\otimes\mathcal{T}^\vee\otimes\mathcal{O}_Z) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Ext}^r(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $r>0$, as $\mathcal{T}$ is a tilting bundle on Z. From the above spectral sequence we conclude

$$H^l(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(i-i)}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i \otimes \mathcal{E}_i^\vee) = 0,$$

for $l > 0$. Finally, it remains the case $j > i$. Again we consider the above spectral sequence and notice that it becomes

$$H^r(Z, \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)} \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{E}_j \otimes \mathcal{E}_i^\vee)) \Longrightarrow$$
$$H^r(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_j \otimes \mathcal{E}_i^\vee).$$

Since there are only finitely many $\mathcal{E}_i$ and Z is projective, we can choose the natural number $m \gg 0$ such that for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}$ we get from the ampleness

$$H^r(Z, \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)} \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{E}_j \otimes \mathcal{E}_i^\vee)) = 0 \text{ for } r > 0.$$

This finally yields

$$H^l(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(j-i)}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_j \otimes \mathcal{E}_i^\vee) = 0 \text{ for } l > 0$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{Ext}^l(\pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes i}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i, \pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes j}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_j) = 0 \text{ for } l > 0.$$

Thus the Ext vanishing holds. The generating property of $\mathcal{R} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes i}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_i$ can be seen as follows:

By assumption, $\mathcal{T}$ is a tilting bundle on Z. Now Proposition 3.6 shows that $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes i}$ is a tilting bundle on Z for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes i}$ generates $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(Z))$ and thus $\langle \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes i} \rangle = D^b(Z)$ by Theorem 3.1. Now Lemma 4.3. implies that $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle = D^b(X)$ and hence $\mathcal{R}$ generates $D(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X))$, again by Theorem 3.1. Together with the Ext vanishing this yields that $\mathcal{R}$ is a tilting bundle on X. Now notice that $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(Z)$ and $\mathcal{E}_i \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$. So $\pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes i}) \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$ and thus $\mathcal{R} \in D^b_G(X)$. Theorem 4.4 implies that $\mathcal{R} \otimes k[G]$ is a tilting bundle on $[X/G]$ and completes the proof. □

Example 4.6. Let Z be a smooth projective k-scheme on which a finite group G acts. Suppose $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(Z)$, considered as an object in $\mathrm{Coh}(Z)$, is a tilting bundle on Z. Now let $\mathcal{E}$ be an equivariant locally free sheaf of rank r on Z. Then $\pi\colon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to Z$ is a flat G-map. As G acts naturally on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}(1), ..., \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}(r-1)$ are objects of $\mathrm{Coh}_G(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$. It can be shown that their restriction to any fiber $\pi^{-1}(z)$, $z \in Z$, is a full strongly exceptional collection. Essentially, this follows from [18], Exercise 8.4 and [5]. Theorem 4.5 now states that there exists an equivariant ample sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Z such that $(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \pi^*(\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes(i+1)}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{E}(i)) \otimes k[G]$ is a tilting bundle on $[\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})/G]$. Note that this is proved in [35] in a direct way.

The following fact is well known. For convenience of the reader, we give a proof.

Proposition 4.7. *Let X be a smooth projective k-scheme and suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a tilting bundle on $[X/G]$ whose indecomposable pairwise non-isomorphic direct summands $\mathcal{L}_i$ are invertible sheaves. Then the set of the $\mathcal{L}_i$ can be ordered in such a way that the reordered set forms a full strongly exceptional collection in $D^b_G(X)$.*

Proof. According to the Krull–Schmidt Theorem for coherent sheaves [2] we write $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i^{\oplus r_i}$, where $\mathcal{L}_i$ are the indecpomposable pairwise non-isomorphic direct summands. As $\langle \mathcal{T} \rangle = D^b_G(X)$, we clearly have $\langle \mathcal{E}_i \rangle_{1 \le i \le n} = D^b_G(X)$. So the summands form a full collection. From the fact that $\mathrm{Ext}^l_G(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) = 0$ for $l > 0$, we deduce that $\mathrm{Ext}^l_G(\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_j) = 0$ for any $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $l > 0$. Note that for each $\mathcal{L}_i$ we have $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_i) = k$. So, according to Definition 3.7, we only need to see that we can order the summands $\mathcal{L}_i$ in such a way that $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{L}_r, \mathcal{L}_s) = 0$ for any $1 \le s < r \le n$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_i) = k$, then for any $1 \le i, j \le n$ either $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{L}_j, \mathcal{L}_i) = 0$ or $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_j) = 0$. Thus, the set $\mathcal{L}_1, ..., \mathcal{L}_n$ can be ordered in such a way that the reordered set becomes a full strongly exceptional collection. □

Proposition 4.8. *Let X be a smooth projective k-scheme on which a finite group G acts. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$, considered as a set of objects in $D^b(X)$, is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b(X)$. If we denote by $W_1, ..., W_m$ the irreducible representation of G, then the collection*

$$\{\{\mathcal{E}_i \otimes W_1\}_{1\leq i\leq n}, \{\mathcal{E}_i \otimes W_2\}_{1\leq i\leq n}, ..., \{\mathcal{E}_i \otimes W_m\}_{1\leq i\leq n}\} \tag{2}$$

is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b_G(X)$.

Proof. We have canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}^l(\mathcal{E}_i \otimes W_p, \mathcal{E}_j \otimes W_q) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^l(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(W_p, W_q)$$

on X. Lemma 2.2 gives

$$\mathrm{Ext}^l_G(\mathcal{E}_i \otimes W_p, \mathcal{E}_j \otimes W_q) \simeq (\mathrm{Ext}^l(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(W_p, W_q))^G.$$

From Schur's Lemma and the fact that $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n$, considered as a set of objects in $D^b(X)$, is a strongly exceptional collection for $D^b(X)$, it easily follows that (2) is a strongly exceptional collection in $D^b_G(X)$. The generating property of this collection can be seen as follows: As $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}_i \in D^b_G(X)$, considered as an object in $D^b(X)$, is a tilting bundle on X, we conclude from Theorem 4.4 that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{T} \otimes W_i$ is a tilting bundle on $[X/G]$. In particular, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{T} \otimes W_i$ generates $D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X)$. Note that the compact objects of $D_G(\mathrm{Qcoh}(X)$ are all of $D^b_G(X)$ (see [10], p.39). Since $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{T} \otimes W_i$ is compact, Theorem 3.1 yields $\langle \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{T} \otimes W_i \rangle = D^b_G(X)$. Thus our collection (2) is full. □

We are now able to prove the following:

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be a flat G-map and $\mathcal{E}_1, ..., \mathcal{E}_n$ a set of invertible sheaves in $D^b_G(X)$ such that, considered as a set of objects in $D^b(X)$, for any point $z \in Z$ the collection $\mathcal{E}_1^z = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}, ..., \mathcal{E}_n^z = \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_z}$ of the restrictions to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(z) = X_z$ is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b(X_z)$. Suppose $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Coh}_G(Z)$ is a tilting bundle on Z whose indecomposable pairwise non-isomorphic direct summands are invertible sheaves. Then there is a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b_G(X)$.*

Proof. By assumption $\mathcal{T}$ is a tilting bundle on Z whose indecomposable pairwise non-isomorphic direct summands $\mathcal{L}_1, ..., \mathcal{L}_m$ are invertible sheaves. Proposition 4.7 ensures that the set $\mathcal{L}_1, ..., \mathcal{L}_m$ can be reordered in such a way that the reordered set forms a full strongly exceptional collection. Let us denote this full strongly exceptional collection by $\mathcal{L}'_1, ..., \mathcal{L}'_m$. Now [15], Theorem 2.8 states that there is an ample sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Z such that

$$\{\{\pi^*(\mathcal{L}'_i \otimes \mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_1\}_{1\leq i\leq m}, ..., \{\pi^*(\mathcal{L}'_i \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_n\}_{1\leq i\leq m}\} \tag{3}$$

is a full strongly exceptional collection in $D^b(X)$. As the proof of this fact follows exactly the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.5, we see that the ample sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on Z can be chosen to be equivariant. In this way we get that all members of the collection (3) are objects in $\mathrm{Coh}_G(X)$ and Proposition 4.8 provides us with a full strongly exceptional collection for $D^b_G(X)$. □

Remark 4.10. *Theorem 4.9 in connection with Example 3.9 also gives a semiorthogonal decomposition for $D^b_G(X)$.*

References

[1] D. Abramovich, M. Olsson and A. Vistoli: Tame stacks in positive characteristic. Ann. Inst. Fourier. Vol. 58 (2008), 1057-1091.

[2] M. Atiyah: On the Krull-Schmidt Theorem with application to sheaves. Bull. Soc. Math. France Vol. 84 (1956), 307-317.

[3] D. Baer: Tilting sheaves in representation theory of algebras. Manuscripta Math. Vol. 60 (1988), 323-347.

[4] M. Ballard, D. Favero and L. Katzarkov: Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories. arXiv:1203.6643v4 [math.AG] (2014).

[5] A.A. Beilinson: Coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and problems in linear algebra. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. Vol. 12 (1978), 68-69.

[6] M. Blume: McKay correspondence and G-Hilbert schemes. Ph.D Thesis, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen (2007), http://hdl.handle.net/10900/49066.

[7] A.I. Bondal: Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves. Math. USSR Izvestiya. Vol. 34 (1990), 23-42.

[8] A.I. Bondal and D.O. Orlov: Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties. arXiv:alg-geom/9506012v1 [math.AG] (1995).

[9] A.I. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh: Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry. Mosc. Math. J. Vol. 3 (2003), 1-36.

[10] C. Brav: Tilting objects in derived categories of equivariant sheaves. Ph.D Thesis, Queen's University Kingston (2008), http://www.collectionscanada.gc.ca/obj/thesescanada/vol2/OKQ/TC-OKQ-1408.pdf.

[11] M. Brown and I. Shipman: Derived equivalences of surfaces via numerical tilting. arXiv:1312.3918v2 [math.AG] (2014).

[12] R.O. Buchweitz and L. Hille: Hochschild (Co-)homology of schemes with tilting object. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 365 (2013), 2823-2844.

[13] X.-W. Chen: A note on separable functors and monads with an application to equivariant derived categories. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg (85) (2015), 43-52.

[14] L. Costa and R.M. Miró-Roig: Derived category of projective bundles. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 133 (2005), 2533-2537.

[15] L. Costa, S. Di Rocco and R.M. Miró-Roig: Derived category of fibrations. Math. Res. Lett. Vol. 18 (2011), 425-432.

[16] A.D. Elagin: Semiorthogonal decompositions of derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves. Izv. Math. Vol. 73 (2009), 893-920.

[17] A.D. Elagin: Cohomological descent theory for a morphism of stacks and for equivariant derived categories. Sb. Math. Vol. 202 (2011), 495-526.

[18] R. Hartshorne: Algebraic geometry. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg (1977).

[19] L. Hille: Exceptional sequences of line bundles on toric varieties, in: Y. Tschinkel, editor, Mathematisches Institut Universität Göttingen, Seminars WS03-04 (2004), 175190.

[20] L. Hille and M. Perling: A counterexample to King's conjecture, Compos. Math. Vol. 142 (2006), 1507-1521.

[21] L. Hille and M. Perling: Exceptional sequences of invertible sheaves on rational surfaces. Compos. Math. Vol. 147 (2011), 1230-1280.

[22] L. Hille and M. Perling: Tilting bundles on rational surfaces and quasi-hereditary algebras. arXiv:1110.5843 [math.AG] (2011), to appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier.

[23] L. Hille and M. Van den Bergh: Fourier–Mukai transforms, in: Handbook of Tilting Theory, edited by L. Angelieri-Hügel, D. Happel, H. Krause, LMS LNS 332. (2007).

[24] D. Huybrechts: Fourier–Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press (2006).

[25] A. Ishii and K. Ueda: A note on derived categories of Fermat varieties. Derived Categories in Algebraic Geometry, EMS Series of Congress Reports 8, (2012), 103-110.

[26] A. Ishii and K. Ueda: Dimer models and exceptional collections. arXiv:0911.4529v2 [math.AG] (2011).

[27] M.M. Kapranov: On the derived category of coherent sheaves on Grassmann manifolds. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. Vol. 48 (1984), 192-202.

[28] M.M. Kapranov: The derived category of coherent sheaves on a quadric. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. Vol. 20 (1986), 141-142. English transl. in Functional Anal. Appl. 20 (1986).

[29] M.M. Kapranov: On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces. Invent. Math. Vol. 92 (1988), 479-508.

[30] Y. Kawamata: Derived categories of toric varieties. Michigan Math. J. Vol. 54 (2006), 517-535.

[31] B. Keller: Derived categories and tilting, in: Handbook of tilting theory. Vol. 332 of London Math. Soc. Lecture note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press (2007), 49-104.

[32] A. King: Tilting bundles on some rational surfaces: Preprint at http://www.maths.bath.ac.uk/ masadk/papers/ (1997).

[33] A.G. Kuznetsov: Semiorthogonal decomposition in algebraic geometry. arXiv:1404.3143v3 [math.AG] (2015).
[34] H. Meltzer: Exeptional vector bundles, tilting sheaves and tilting complexes for weighted projective lines. Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 171, Number 808 (2004).
[35] S. Novaković: Tilting objects on some global quotient stacks. arXiv:1503.00522v3 [math.AG] (2015).
[36] S. Novaković: Tilting objects on twisted forms of some relative flag varieties. arXiv:1503.05542v1 [math.AG] (2015).
[37] R. Ohkawa and K. Ueda: Full exceptional collection of line bundles on global quotients of the projective plane. preprint, http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/∼kazushi/preprints/quot-proj.pdf.
[38] R. Ohkawa and H. Uehara: Frobenius morphisms and derived categories on two dimensional toric Deligne-Mumford stacks. Adv. Math. Vol. 244 (2013), 241267.
[39] D.O. Orlov: Projective bundles, monoidal transformations, and derived categories of coherent sheaves. Math. USSR Izv. Vol. 38 (1993), 133-141.
[40] D.O. Orlov: Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities, in: Y. Tschinkel, editors, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry. In honor of Y.I. Manin on the occasion of his 70th birthday. Vol. II. Progress in Mathematics 270, Birkhäuser (2009), 503-531.
[41] M. Perling: Cohomology vanishing and exceptional sequences. Habilitationsschrift, Ruhr-Universität Bochum (2009), http://www-brs.ub.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/netahtml/HSS/Diss/PerlingMarkus/diss.pdf.
[42] D. Ploog: Equivariant autoequivalences for finite group actions. Adv. Math. Vol. 216 (2007), 62-74.
[43] R. Rouquier: Dimension of triangulated categories. J. K-Theory. Vol. 1 (2008), 193-256.
[44] A. Samokhin: Some remarks on the derived categories of coherent sheaves on homogeneous spaces. J. Lond. Math. Soc. Vol. 76 (2007), 122-134.
[45] A. Vistoli: Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces. Invent. Math. Vol. 97 (1989), 613-670.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, HEINRICH–HEINE–UNIVERSITÄT 40225 DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY
E-mail adress: novakovic@math.uni-duesseldorf.de